

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/732,798	10/732,798 12/11/2003		Noboru Mikami	402906/SOGA	5877		
23548	7590	07/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
LEYDIG V		MAYER, LTD	WILSON, CH	WILSON, CHRISTIAN D			
SUITE 300		1.1111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGT	ON, DO	20005-3960	2891	2891			

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			·		\\\				
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/732,	798	MIKAMI ET AL.					
Office	Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
		Christian	n Wilson	2891					
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communi	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence address	s				
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time mafter SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FO ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC hay be available under the provisions of the specified above is less than thirty (30) to it is specified above, the maximum standard the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months at a dijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of unication. of days, a reply within the structory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tatutory minimum of thirty (30) d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro pplication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commun NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status									
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) file	d on 23 <i>June 2005</i> .							
<u> </u>									
' =	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clair	ms				•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1	-9 is/are nending in the an	nlication							
	 ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are rejected.								
· <u> </u>									
	are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement.						
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specifi	cation is objected to by the	Examiner							
	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
* *			•	• •	121(d).				
<u></u> .	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119	•							
<u> </u>	gment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority u	nder 35 S C & 110/	a)_(d) or (f)					
·	Ginent is made of a claim in a some * c) ☐ None of:	or roreign priority u	inder 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)-(u) 01 (1).					
1.⊠ Cert	ified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.						
2.☐ Cert	ified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received in Applica	ation No					
3.☐ Cop	ies of the certified copies of	of the priority docum	nents have been recei	ved in this National Stag	е				
	ication from the Internation	•	, <i>, , ,</i>						
* See the atta	ched detailed Office action	n for a list of the ce	rtified copies not receiv	ved.					
Am. 1					•				
Attachment(s)	on Cited (PTO 900)		4) 🗀 🏣 🖰 💮	(DTO 442)					
 Notice of Reference D Notice of Draftspers 	es Cited (P1O-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (P	ГО-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I			Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 7 9 in the reply filed on June 23, 2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1 6 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on June 23, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obeng *et al.* in view of Gracias *et al.*

Regarding claim 7, Obeng et al. (US 6,323,131) teaches an underlayer film 18 for copper 20 on a substrate 12 where the underlayer film is formed of a $(R_1R_2)N - (R)_n$ – Si to a substrate via an Si-O bond where R_1 and R_2 represent an alkyl group and R represents an alkylene group or an aromatic ring where n represents an integer from 1 to 6 [column 2, lines 60-67; column 3, lines 1-20]. Obeng et al. does not discuss phosphorous (P) in place of nitrogen (N). Gracias et al. (US 6,905,958) teaches an underlayer film where a phosphine is used in the self-assembled monolayer film [column 3, lines 30-35]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use P in the device of Obeng et al. since Gracias et al. teaches that these materials readily form bonds to copper layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/732,798

Art Unit: 2891

Page 3

Regarding claim 8, it is noted that product-by-process claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps. "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). In this instance, Obeng *et al.* as modified by Gracias *et al.* teaches all the structural limitations of claim 8. Therefore, the process limitations add no patentable distinction between the claimed device and the prior art.

Regarding claim 9, Obeng et al. teaches a substrate 12, an underlayer film 18 for copper, a wiring film 20 formed of copper, where the underlayer film is formed of a $(R_1R_2)N - (R)_n - Si$ to a substrate via an Si-O bond where R_1 and R_2 represent an alkyl group and R represents an alkylene group or an aromatic ring where n represents an integer from 1 to 6 [column 2, lines 60-67; column 3, lines 1-20]. Obeng et al. does not discuss phosphorous (P) in place of nitrogen (N). Gracias et al. teaches an underlayer film where a phosphine is used in the self-assembled monolayer film [column 3, lines 30-35]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use P in the device of Obeng et al. since Gracias et al. teaches that these materials readily form bonds to copper layers.

5. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Art Unit: 2891

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited prior art teaches method of using self-assembled monolayers to form barrier layers in a copper via.

- 7. A copy of the search history is enclosed.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, 7:30 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571) 272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian Wilson, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2891